

(1965), based on a lattice-dynamical analysis of their measured phonon dispersion relations. On the whole, there is reasonably good agreement between observation and theory. The theory neglects anharmonic contributions to the Debye–Waller factors, and this could account for the observed displacements for U exceeding the calculated displacements, especially at high temperatures.

### Conclusions

The data of Willis (1963) have been re-analysed with a structure-factor equation including third cumulants. The only non-vanishing third cumulant for  $\text{UO}_2$  is  $c_{123}^0$  for the O atom. Introducing  $c_{123}^0$  into the analysis accounts for anisotropic anharmonic thermal motion of

the O atom.  $c_{123}^0$ ,  $B_U$  and  $B_O$  have been derived over the temperature range 293 to 1373 K. The e.s.d.'s of  $c_{123}^0$  are too large to allow a rigorous check of the theoretical dependence on temperature, but  $B_U$  and  $B_O$  are in reasonable agreement with those predicted by the theory of lattice dynamics.

### References

- ALBINATI, A., COOPER, M. J., ROUSE, K. D., THOMAS, M. W. & WILLIS, B. T. M. (1980). *Acta Cryst.* **A36**, 265–270.  
 DOLLING, G., COWLEY, R. A. & WOODS, A. D. B. (1965). *Can. J. Phys.* **43**, 1397–1413.  
 WILLIS, B. T. M. (1963). *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A*, **274**, 134–144.  
 WILLIS, B. T. M. & PRYOR, A. W. (1975). *Thermal Vibrations in Crystallography*. Cambridge Univ. Press.

*Acta Cryst.* (1980). **A36**, 584–588

## X-ray Diffraction from Faulted Close-Packed Structures. Analytic Solution for Integrated Intensities

BY SHRIKANT LELE

*Department of Metallurgical Engineering, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221005, India*

(Received 3 September 1979; accepted 18 January 1980)

### Abstract

Analytic expressions for the integrated intensities of reflexions from faulted close-packed structures have been obtained. These involve a single root of the characteristic equation (the root which corresponds to the reflexion under consideration), its coefficients and the initial conditions. The particular utility of the solution for cases where one or more roots of the characteristic equation have unit modulus is demonstrated.

### Introduction

Diffraction from close-packed crystals with stacking faults has been investigated by a large number of workers and has been reviewed by Warren (1959, 1969), Wilson (1962), Cohen & Hilliard (1966) and Anantharaman, Rama Rao & Lele (1972) among others. In their pioneering papers, Wilson (1942) and Hendricks & Teller (1942) developed distinct approaches to a solution of this problem. The present paper describes a simplification in the procedure for the

evaluation of integrated intensities following the difference-equation method of Wilson (1942). This method also enables an analytical solution for the diffuse diffracted intensity when the characteristic equation found from the difference equation has roots with unit modulus.

### Formulation of the problem

In general, the diffracted intensity from a possibly faulted crystal is given by (Warren, 1959)

$$I(h_3) = \psi^2 \sum_m \langle \exp [i\Phi_m] \rangle \exp (2\pi i m h_3 / n), \quad (1)$$

where  $h_1, h_2, h_3$  are continuous variables in reciprocal space,  $\psi^2$  is a function of  $h_1, h_2$  which vanishes except when  $h_1 = H, h_2 = K, H$  and  $K$  being hexagonal indices with integer values, and  $\Phi_m$ , the phase difference across a pair of layers  $m$  layers apart, is given by

$$\Phi_m = (2\pi/3)(H - K) q_m, \quad (2)$$

$q_m$  being the displacement of the  $m$  layer from the origin

layer in units of the stacking offset vector  $(1/3)\langle 1100 \rangle$ , and  $n$  is the number of layers in the hexagonal unit cell. Thus, reflexions with  $H - K = 0 \pmod{3}$  are unaffected by faulting.

The evaluation of the diffracted intensity, therefore, reduces to the determination of

$$J_m \equiv \langle \exp [i\Phi_m] \rangle \quad (3)$$

for reflexions with  $H - K \neq 0 \pmod{3}$  and is based on the statistical specification of the distribution of stacking faults. Denoting the probability of obtaining the phase difference  $\Phi_m$  across  $m$  layers by  $P(\Phi_m)$ , we can write

$$J_m = \sum P(\Phi_m) \exp [i\Phi_m], \quad (4)$$

where the summation extends over all possible values of  $\Phi_m$ . The probability  $P(\Phi_m)$  is itself a function of the stacking-fault probability  $\alpha$ . Once the structure and the fault are specified, the probabilities of transition from the  $(m - 1)$  layer to the  $m$  layer can be expressed in the form of trees from which difference equations for  $P(\Phi_m)$  and consequently  $J_m$  can be found (Lele, 1974). The latter can be expressed, in general, as follows:

$$J_{m+n} + a_{n-1}J_{m+n-1} + \dots + a_1J_{m+1} + a_0J_m = 0. \quad (5)$$

A solution for this difference equation is of the form

$$J_m = C\rho^m. \quad (6)$$

On inserting this solution in the difference equation (5) for  $J_m$ , an  $n$ -degree equation in  $\rho$ , usually called the characteristic equation, is obtained. The general solution for  $J_m$  is obtained by forming a linear combination from all the  $n$  roots,  $\rho_j$  ( $j = 0$  to  $n - 1$ ), of the characteristic equation. Thus,

$$J_m = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_j \rho_j^m. \quad (7)$$

The proportionality factors ( $C_j$ ,  $j = 0$  to  $n - 1$ ) can be evaluated by first directly determining  $J_m$  ( $m = 0$  to  $n - 1$ ), which specify the initial conditions, and subsequently solving  $n$  simultaneous equations of the type of (7) since the roots,  $\rho_j$ , of the characteristic equation are known. Both  $\rho_j$  and  $C_j$  are generally complex and can be expressed in terms of real quantities  $Z_j$  (real as well as positive),  $X_j$ ,  $A_j$  and  $B_j$  as follows:

$$\rho_j = Z_j \exp(-2\pi i X_j/n), \quad (8)$$

$$C_j = A_j + iB_j. \quad (9)$$

The diffracted intensity can then be obtained by substituting from (3) and (7) into (1).

$$I(h_3) = \psi^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} C_j \rho_j^{|m|} \exp(2\pi i m h_3/n),$$

$$H - K \neq 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (10)$$

Each of the  $n$  series over  $m$  on the right-hand side of (10) is a geometric series which can be summed for  $|\rho_j| < 1$  but not for  $|\rho_j| = 1$ . Thus roots with unit modulus need to be distinguished. Let the  $p$  roots,  $\rho_j$ ,  $j = (n - p)$  to  $(n - 1)$ , have unit modulus. Separating the series in (10) into two parts according as  $|\rho_j| < 1$  and  $|\rho_j| = 1$ , we have

$$I(h_3) = \psi^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-p-1} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} C_j \rho_j^{|m|} \exp(2\pi i m h_3/n) \\ + \psi^2 \sum_{j=n-p}^{n-1} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} C_j \exp(-2\pi i |m| X_j/n) \\ \times \exp(2\pi i m h_3/n), \quad H - K \neq 0 \pmod{3}. \quad (11)$$

We consider the two terms on the right-hand side of (11) separately by introducing

$$I_d(h_3) = \psi^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-p-1} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} C_j \rho_j^{|m|} \exp(2\pi i m h_3/n), \quad |\rho_j| < 1, \quad (12)$$

$$I_s(h_3) = \psi^2 \sum_{j=n-p}^{n-1} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} C_j \exp(2\pi i m/n) (h_3 - X_j), \quad |\rho_j| = 1. \quad (13)$$

Summing the series over  $m$  in (12) separately for  $m = 1$  to  $\infty$  and  $m = -1$  to  $-\infty$ , we have

$$I_d(h_3) = \psi^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-p-1} \left\{ A_j + \frac{C_j \rho_j}{E - \rho_j} + \frac{C_j^* \rho_j^*}{E^* - \rho_j^*} \right\}, \quad |\rho_j| < 1, \quad (14)$$

where

$$E = \exp(-2\pi i h_3/n). \quad (15)$$

On simplification, we obtain

$$I_d(h_3) = \psi^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-p-1} [A_j(1 - Z_j^2) - 2B_j Z_j \\ \times \sin(2\pi/n)(h_3 - X_j)] / [1 + Z_j^2 - 2Z_j \\ \times \cos(2\pi/n)(h_3 - X_j)], \quad |\rho_j| < 1. \quad (16)$$

Thus, each of the  $(n - p)$  roots with modulus less than unity gives rise to a *diffuse* peak at  $h_3 = X_j$ ,  $j = 0$  to  $(n - p - 1)$ .

As already mentioned, the series over  $m$  in (13) cannot be summed. Usually for  $|\rho_j| = 1$ ,  $B_j = 0$  and  $X_j$  is an integer and (13) reduces to

$$I_s(h_3) = \psi^2 \sum_{j=n-p}^{n-1} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_j \cos(2\pi m/n)(h_3 - X_j), \quad |\rho_j| = 1. \quad (17)$$

Thus each of the  $p$  roots with unit modulus gives rise

to an infinitely sharp peak at  $h_3 = X_j, j = (n - p)$  to  $(n - 1)$ .

The integrated intensity for each of the  $n$  reflexions corresponding to the  $n$  roots of  $\rho$  can be obtained by separately integrating each term in (16) and (17) over  $h_3$  from  $-n/2$  to  $+n/2$  and can be shown to be equal to  $A_j$  apart from a constant.

The solution for the  $C_j$  can be effected by applying Cramer's rule to equations of the type of (7) and we shall be concerned in the next section of this paper with a simplification in their evaluation so that each  $C_j$  can be expressed in terms of a single root, namely the corresponding root  $\rho_j$ , the coefficients of the characteristic equation and the initial conditions.

By carrying out the summation in (14), and replacing the elementary symmetric functions of  $\rho_j$  by  $a_j$  and the expressions involving  $C_j$  by  $J_m$ , Gevers (1954; see also Holloway, 1969a) has obtained an expression for the diffracted intensity that involves only  $a_j$  and  $J_m$  and thus does not necessitate a solution of the characteristic equation nor of the simultaneous equations for  $C_j$ . Obviously, this solution is not valid when one or more roots, i.e.  $\rho_j$ , have a modulus equal to unity. Examples of such a situation are provided by the triple fault in f.c.c. structures (Sato, 1966) and the extrinsic or layer displacement fault in h.c.p. structures (Lele, Anantharaman & Johnson, 1967; Pandey, Lele & Krishna, 1980).

We shall also consider, with applications, in the last section of this paper, the special utility of the present method of evaluating  $C_j$  in obtaining the diffracted intensity when one or more of the  $\rho_j$  have unit modulus.

### Integrated intensities

Substituting from (6) into (5) and simplifying, we get a characteristic equation of the following general form

$$F(\rho) \equiv \rho^n + a_{n-1}\rho^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\rho + a_0 = 0. \quad (18)$$

In terms of its roots, this can be expressed as

$$F(\rho) = (\rho - \rho_0)(\rho - \rho_1) \dots (\rho - \rho_{n-2})(\rho - \rho_{n-1}) = 0. \quad (19)$$

The coefficients,  $a_j$ , and the roots,  $\rho_j, j = 0$  to  $(n - 1)$  are functions of the fault probability and are related through standard expressions.

From (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_0\rho_0^{n-1} + C_1\rho_1^{n-1} + \dots + C_{n-2}\rho_{n-2}^{n-1} + C_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}^{n-1} &= J_{n-1} \\ C_0\rho_0^{n-2} + C_1\rho_1^{n-2} + \dots + C_{n-2}\rho_{n-2}^{n-2} + C_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}^{n-2} &= J_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \\ C_0\rho_0 + C_1\rho_1 + \dots + C_{n-2}\rho_{n-2} + C_{n-1}\rho_{n-1} &= J_1 \\ C_0 + C_1 + \dots + C_{n-2} + C_{n-1} &= J_0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Using Cramer's rule, we have from (20)

$$C_j = N_j/D, \quad j = 0 \text{ to } (n - 1), \quad (21)$$

where  $N_j$  and  $D$  represent the following determinants:

$$N_j = \begin{vmatrix} \rho_0^{n-1} & \rho_1^{n-1} & \dots & \rho_{j-1}^{n-1} & J_{n-1} & \rho_{j+1}^{n-1} & \dots & \rho_{n-2}^{n-1} & \rho_{n-1}^{n-1} \\ \rho_0^{n-2} & \rho_1^{n-2} & \dots & \rho_{j-1}^{n-2} & J_{n-2} & \rho_{j+1}^{n-2} & \dots & \rho_{n-2}^{n-2} & \rho_{n-1}^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho_0 & \rho_1 & \dots & \rho_{j-1} & J_1 & \rho_{j+1} & \dots & \rho_{n-2} & \rho_{n-1} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & J_0 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad j = 1 \text{ to } (n - 1); \quad (22)$$

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} \rho_0^{n-1} & \rho_1^{n-1} & \dots & \rho_{n-2}^{n-1} & \rho_{n-1}^{n-1} \\ \rho_0^{n-2} & \rho_1^{n-2} & \dots & \rho_{n-2}^{n-2} & \rho_{n-1}^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \rho_0 & \rho_1 & \dots & \rho_{n-2} & \rho_{n-1} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

We shall consider a further simplification for the specific case of  $C_0$ . The determinant  $N_0$  vanishes when  $\rho_j = \rho_k (k > j \neq 0)$ , since it then has two columns identical. Hence, by the remainder theorem, it has factors  $(\rho_j - \rho_k)$  where  $k > j \neq 0$ . We may thus write

$$N_0 = (\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_1 - \rho_3) \dots (\rho_{n-3} - \rho_{n-1}) \times (\rho_{n-2} - \rho_{n-1}) M_0 = P_0 M_0, \quad (24)$$

say, where  $M_0$  has to be determined. By a similar argument,  $D$  has factors  $(\rho_j - \rho_k)$  with  $k > j \neq 0$  as also factors  $(\rho_0 - \rho_k)$  with  $k \neq 0$ . Hence,

$$D = P_0(\rho_0 - \rho_1)(\rho_0 - \rho_2) \dots (\rho_0 - \rho_{n-2})(\rho_0 - \rho_{n-1}) D', \quad (25)$$

where  $D'$  has to be evaluated. To do this, we observe that  $D$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $n(n - 1)/2$  in  $\rho_j$  and thus  $D'$  must be independent of them and so is a numerical constant which can be shown to be unity. Thus

$$D = P_0(\rho_0 - \rho_1)(\rho_0 - \rho_2) \dots (\rho_0 - \rho_{n-2})(\rho_0 - \rho_{n-1}) = P_0 E_0, \quad (26)$$

say. The  $(n - 1)(n - 2)/2$  factors representing  $P_0$  are common to  $N_0$  and  $D$  and will cancel on substitution in (21). Therefore, only the last  $(n - 1)$  factors need further consideration. Let us introduce the coefficients  $b_j, j = 0$  to  $(n - 2)$  through

$$\begin{aligned} F(\rho)/(\rho - \rho_0) &\equiv (\rho - \rho_1)(\rho - \rho_2) \dots (\rho - \rho_{n-2}) \\ &\times (\rho - \rho_{n-1}) = \rho^{n-1} + b_{n-2}\rho^{n-2} \\ &+ \dots + b_1\rho + b_0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

From (26) and (27), we have

$$E_0 = D/P_0 = \rho_0^{n-1} + b_{n-2}\rho_0^{n-2} + \dots + b_1\rho_0 + b_0. \quad (28)$$

Considering the expansion of  $D$  in terms of the elements of the first column of  $D$  and their cofactors (equation 23), it is obvious that the  $b_j$  are just the cofactors except

for the common factor  $P_0$ . A similar expansion of  $N_0$  (equation 22) thus gives

$$M_0 = N_0/P_0 = J_{n-1} + b_{n-2}J_{n-2} + \dots + b_1J_1 + b_0J_0. \quad (29)$$

Substituting in (21) from (28) and (29), we have

$$C_0 = [J_{n-1} + b_{n-2}J_{n-2} + \dots + b_1J_1 + b_0J_0] \times [\rho_0^{n-1} + b_{n-2}\rho_0^{n-2} + \dots + b_1\rho_0 + b_0]^{-1}. \quad (30)$$

An alternative expression for  $C_0$  in terms of  $a_j$  rather than  $b_j$  can be obtained by multiplying (27) by  $(\rho - \rho_0)$  on both sides, substituting for  $F(\rho)$  from (18) and equating the coefficients of  $\rho^j$  ( $j = 1$  to  $n - 1$ ) on both sides. Thus,

$$b_{j-1} - b_j\rho_0 = a_j, \quad j = 1 \text{ to } (n - 1). \quad (31)$$

The solution of this difference equation is

$$b_j = \rho_0^{n-j-1} + a_{n-1}\rho_0^{n-j-2} + \dots + a_{j+2}\rho_0 + a_{j+1}. \quad (32)$$

Substituting from the above into (30), we obtain, after rearranging in decreasing powers of  $\rho_0$ ,

$$C_0 = [J_0\rho_0^{n-1} + (J_0a_{n-1} + J_1)\rho_0^{n-2} + \dots + (J_0a_2 + J_1a_3 + \dots + J_{n-3}a_{n-1} + J_{n-2})\rho_0 + (J_0a_1 + J_1a_2 + \dots + J_{n-2}a_{n-1} + J_{n-1})] \times [n\rho_0^{n-1} + (n-1)a_{n-1}\rho_0^{n-2} + \dots + 2a_2\rho_0 + a_1]^{-1}. \quad (33)$$

Since the analytical solutions (equations 30 and 33) for  $C_0$  involve only the corresponding root of the characteristic equation, namely  $\rho_0$ , apart from the coefficients ( $a_j$  or  $b_j$ ) and the initial conditions, it follows that knowledge of the value of a single root,  $\rho_j$ , is sufficient for the evaluation of the respective  $C_j$ . On the other hand, a direct evaluation of  $C_j$  from (21) requires a knowledge of all the roots rather than the coefficients of the characteristic equation. Since expressions for the coefficients are generally much simpler than those for the roots (in fact for equations of degree higher than four, it may not be possible at all to have analytical expressions for the roots), the convenience in obtaining the integrated intensity from (33) is obvious.

#### Applications for cases with solutions having unit modulus

The diffracted intensity from diffuse reflexions can be obtained by summing the series on the right-hand side of (14) following the procedure of Gevers (1954) and Holloway (1969a). Consequently, elementary symmetric functions of only those roots with  $|\rho_j| < 1$  will appear in this expression. These can be obtained by

dividing (18) by  $p$  factors  $(\rho - \rho_j)$ ,  $|\rho_j| = 1$ ,  $j = (n - p)$  to  $(n - 1)$ , giving

$$G(\rho) \equiv \rho^{n-p} + d_{n-p-1}\rho^{n-p-1} + \dots + d_1\rho + d_0 = 0. \quad (34)$$

The roots of this equation are identical to those involved in the summation mentioned above and hence the elementary symmetric functions of these roots are equal to the  $d_j$ , apart from their signs. Thus  $a_j$  should be replaced by  $d_j$  in the analytic solution for the diffracted intensity obtained by Gevers and Holloway. In a similar fashion, the  $J_m$  (equation 7), which correspond to a sum over all roots, should be replaced by  $K_m$  which have a sum over the roots with  $|\rho_j| < 1$  only. Thus,

$$K_m = \sum_{j=0}^{n-p-1} C_j \rho_j^m. \quad (35)$$

Utilizing (7), we can rewrite (35) as

$$K_m = J_m - \sum_{j=n-p}^{n-1} C_j \rho_j^m. \quad (36)$$

We have thus obtained a self-consistent set consisting of the characteristic equation (34) and the initial conditions (equation 36) which no longer have the effects of any roots with unit modulus and thus can be used as input for the calculation of the diffracted intensity by the method of Gevers (1954). As already mentioned, the diffracted intensity corresponding to the roots with unit modulus consists of sharp peaks and has to be superposed on the diffuse intensity corresponding to the roots with non-unit modulus.

As an illustration of this procedure, we shall consider the case of extrinsic faulting in h.c.p. crystals. Following Holloway (1969b), the characteristic equation is

$$\rho^4 - (1 - \alpha)^2\rho^2 - 2\alpha(1 - \alpha)\rho - \alpha^2 = 0, \quad (37)$$

which has one root, say  $\rho_3$  with unit modulus ( $Z_3 = 1$ ;  $X_3 = 0$ ), while the initial conditions are

$$J_0 = 1, \quad J_2 = (2 - 7\alpha + 6\alpha^2)/2(1 + \alpha), \quad (38) \\ J_1 = -1/2, \quad J_3 = (-1 + 5\alpha - 3\alpha^2 - 3\alpha^3)/2(1 + \alpha).$$

Dividing (37) by  $(\rho - \rho_3) \equiv (\rho - 1)$ , we have

$$\rho^3 + \rho^2 + (2\alpha - \alpha^2)\rho + \alpha^2 = 0. \quad (39)$$

Thus,

$$b_2 = 1, \quad b_1 = 2\alpha - \alpha^2, \quad b_0 = \alpha^2. \quad (40)$$

Utilizing (38) and (40), we obtain from (30)

$$C_3 = \frac{J_3 + J_2b_2 + J_1b_1 + J_0b_0}{\rho_3^3 + b_2\rho_3^2 + b_1\rho_3 + b_0} \\ = (1 - 2\alpha)^2/4(1 + \alpha)^2. \quad (41)$$

From (36), (38) and (41), we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= 3(1 + 4\alpha)/4(1 + \alpha)^2, \\ K_1 &= -3(1 + 2\alpha^2)/4(1 + \alpha)^2, \\ K_2 &= 3(1 - 2\alpha)(1 - 2\alpha^2)/4(1 + \alpha)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Following Gevers (1954) and Holloway (1969a), the diffracted intensity for the diffuse reflexions is given by

$$I_d(h_3) = K_0 + \left[ 2 \sum_{j=0}^3 A_j \cos(\pi j h_3) / \sum_{j=0}^3 B_j \cos(\pi j h_3) \right], \quad (43)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= b_2 K_1 + b_1(K_2 + b_2 K_1) - b_0^2 K_0, \\ A_1 &= (1 + b_1)K_1 + (b_2 + b_0)(K_2 + b_2 K_1) - b_1 b_0 K_0, \\ A_2 &= b_0 K_1 + K_2 + b_2 K_1 - b_2 b_0 K_0, \\ A_3 &= -b_0 K_0, \\ B_0 &= 1 + b_2^2 + b_1^2 + b_0^2, \\ B_1 &= 2(b_2 + b_2 b_1 + b_1 b_0), \\ B_2 &= 2(b_1 + b_2 b_0), \\ B_3 &= 2b_0. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Substituting from (40), (42) and (44) in (43), we have after simplification

$$\begin{aligned} I_d(h_3) &= \{3\alpha(1 - \alpha)[2 - 3\alpha + 2\alpha^2 + (1 + \alpha - 2\alpha^2) \\ &\quad \times \cos \pi h_3 + 2\alpha \cos^2 \pi h_3]\} / \{2(1 + \alpha) \\ &\quad \times [1 + \alpha^2 + 2\alpha \cos \pi h_3] \\ &\quad \times [(1 - \alpha)^2 + (1 - \alpha^2) \\ &\quad \times \cos \pi h_3 + 2\alpha \cos^2 \pi h_3]\}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

which is identical with the expression obtained by Lele, Anantharaman & Johnson (1967) and Holloway

(1969b). It may be noted that except for the root with unit modulus and the corresponding integrated intensity, which could be obtained very simply, no other root or integrated-intensity values were necessary for the calculations.

The author is grateful to Professor T. R. Anantharaman for encouragement and interest and to Professor S. L. Malhotra for the provision of laboratory facilities. Thanks are due to Dr Dhananjai Pandey for helpful discussions.

#### References

- ANANTHARAMAN, T. R., RAMA RAO, P. & LELE, S. (1972). *Recent Developments in Metallurgical Science and Technology*, pp. 407–484. New Delhi: Indian Institute of Metals.
- COHEN, J. B. & HILLIARD, J. E. (1966). *Local Atomic Arrangements Studied by X-ray Diffraction*. New York: Gordon and Breach.
- GEVERS, R. (1954). *Acta Cryst.* **7**, 337–343.
- HENDRICKS, S. & TELLER, E. (1942). *J. Chem. Phys.* **10**, 147–167.
- HOLLOWAY, H. (1969a). *J. Appl. Phys.* **40**, 4313–4321.
- HOLLOWAY, H. (1969b). *Phys. Status Solidi*, **35**, 507–513.
- LELE, S. (1974). *Acta Cryst.* **A30**, 509–513.
- LELE, S., ANANTHARAMAN, T. R. & JOHNSON, C. A. (1967). *Phys. Status Solidi*, **20**, 59–68.
- PANDEY, D., LELE, S. & KRISHNA, P. (1980). *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A*, **369**, 435–449.
- SATO, R. (1966). *Acta Cryst.* **20**, 150–151.
- WARREN, B. E. (1959). *Prog. Met. Phys.* **8**, 147–202.
- WARREN, B. E. (1969). *X-ray Diffraction*. Reading, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1942). *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A*, **180**, 277–285.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1962). *X-ray Optics*. London: Methuen.

*Acta Cryst.* (1980). **A36**, 588–591

## The Fragile Lattice Packings of Spheres in Four-Dimensional Space

BY K. L. FIELDS AND M. J. NICOLICH

*Department of Mathematics, Rider College, Lawrenceville, New Jersey 08648, USA*

(Received 23 October 1979; accepted 22 January 1980)

### Abstract

In a fragile packing of spheres, the density of the packed spheres is minimized. There are exactly nine distinct indecomposable fragile lattice packings in four-dimensional space; they are described in terms of their associated quadratic forms.

The *three-dimensional* fragile lattice packings of spheres were determined by Fields (1980). There are only the simple cubic, simple hexagonal, body-centered cubic and body-centered tetragonal packings. Of these lattices, only the latter two are indecomposable: the simple cubic is the orthogonal sum of three one-dimensional lattices, and the simple hexagonal lattice is